UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/944,727	0/944,727 08/30/2001 Kilian Dill		0405	1709
	7590 04/03/200 IX CORPORATION	EXAMINER		
	R HEIGHTS PARKW	JUNG, UNSU		
MUKILTEO, W	VA 90213		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1641	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/03/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Appl	ication No.	n No. Applicant(s)				
		09/9	44,727	DILL, KILIAN				
		Exan	niner	Art Unit				
			Jung	1641				
Period fo	- The MAILING DATE of this commun r Reply	ication appears o	n the cover sheet	with the correspondence a	ddress			
WHIC - Exten after 9 - If NO - Failur Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M sions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm period for reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply sply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DATE O of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In unication. tutory period will apply will, by statute, cause the	F THIS COMMUN no event, however, may and will expire SIX (6) Mone application to become	NICATION. a reply be timely filed DNTHS from the mailing date of this ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on 21 Decemb	ner 2007					
·	•	2b)⊠ This action						
<i>'</i> —	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
<i>,</i> —	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
	on of Claims	,	, ,	,				
· ·		ding in the applic	action					
•	Claim(s) 10,13 and 16-19 is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
•	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	t to rootriction or	ad/or alastian ragu	uromont				
0)	Claim(s) <u>10,13 <i>and 16-19</i></u> are subjec	i to restriction at	id/or election requ	mement.				
Application	on Papers							
9) 🗌 🗆	The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.						
10) 🔲 🗆	Γhe drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a) accepted	or b)⊡ objected t	o by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objec	ction to the drawing	g(s) be held in abey	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some col None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
2) Notice 3) Inform	(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	TO-948)	Paper N	v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application 				

Art Unit: 1641

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's amendments in the reply filed on December 21, 2007 have been acknowledged and entered. The reply included cancellation of claims 1-9, 11, 12, 14, and 15, amendments to claims 10, 13, and 16-18, and addition of new claim 19.

2. It is noted that applicant asserts that no new matter has been added. However, applicant does not direct support of new claim 19 in the original specification. Applicant is respectfully advised to direct support of new claim 19 in the original specification in the reply to this Office Action. Further, claim 19 appear to be in improper dependent form as the combination of target molecules being attached to the oxidation/reduction enzyme recited in claim 19 include entities (antigen) not included in the Markush group recited in independent claim 10. Applicant is further advised to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form.

Election/Restrictions

3. Applicant's election without traverse of Group III (claims 10-13 and 15-18) in the reply filed on December 21, 2007 is acknowledged.

Applicant's election of species A (in situ synthesis with electrochemical techniques, claims 11 and 12) from List I and species A (oligonucleotides, claim 17) from List IV in the reply filed on December 21, 2007 is acknowledged. Because

Art Unit: 1641

applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Applicant's election with traverse of species B (horseradish peroxidase, claim 13) from List II, species G (dextran, claim 16) from List III, and species C (single-stranded DNA, claim 18) from List V in the reply filed on December 21, 2007 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the restriction of the species unduely narrows the scope of the invention and that the undue search burden does not exist. This is not found persuasive because the literature search for each species is distinct since the structural requirements of each species are different. While searches would be expected to overlap, there is no reason to expect the searches to be coextensive.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

4. Upon further consideration of the claims, the following supplemental species election is required.

Election/Restrictions

5. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

<u>List: Oxidation/reduction enzyme and target molecule combination (claims 10, 13, and 16-19)</u>

- 1. Antibody (claims 10, 13, and 16-18)
- 2. Anti-idiotype antibody (claims 10, 13, and 16-18)
- 3. Biotin/streptavidin (claims 10, 13, and 16-18)
- 4. Biotin/avidin (claims 10, 13, and 16-18)

Art Unit: 1641

5. Combinations thereof (for this species, applicant must select a specific combination of the above combinations, claims 10, 13, and 16-18)

- 6. First antibody/antigen/second antibody/streptavidin-biotin/oxidation/reduction enzyme (claim 19)
- 7. First antibody/antigen/second antibody/streptavidin-biotin/oxidation/reduction enzyme (claim 19)

The species are independent or distinct because claims to the different species recite the mutually exclusive characteristics of such species. In addition, these species are not obvious variants of each other based on the current record.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

There is an examination and search burden for these patentably distinct species due to their mutually exclusive characteristics. The species require a different field of search (e.g., searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries); and/or the prior art applicable to one species would not likely be applicable to another species; and/or the species are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C. 101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

The election of the species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the election of species requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected species.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the species unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other species.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Unsu Jung whose telephone number is (571)272-8506. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F: 9-5.

Art Unit: 1641

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Long Le can be reached on 571-272-0823. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Unsu Jung/ Unsu Jung, Ph.D. Patent Examiner Art Unit 1641